

The Audit Findings Report for the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund

Year ended 31 March 2021

7 October 2021



Contents



Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Paul Dossett

Key Audit Partner

E: Paul.Dossett@uk.gt.com

Matt Dean

Senior Manager

E: Matt.Dean@uk.gt.com

Section

1. Headlines
2. Financial statements
3. Independence and ethics

Appendices

- A. Audit adjustments
- B. Fees
- C. Audit Opinion
- D. Management Letter of Representation

Page

- 3
- 4
- 15
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 23

The contents of this report relate only to the matters which have come to our attention, which we believe need to be reported to you as part of our audit planning process. It is not a comprehensive record of all the relevant matters, which may be subject to change, and in particular we cannot be held responsible to you for reporting all of the risks which may affect the Pension Fund or all weaknesses in your internal controls. This report has been prepared solely for your benefit and should not be quoted in whole or in part without our prior written consent. We do not accept any responsibility for any loss occasioned to any third party acting, or refraining from acting on the basis of the content of this report, as this report was not prepared for, nor intended for, any other purpose.

Grant Thornton UK LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales: No.OC307742. Registered office: 30 Finsbury Square, London, EC2A 1AG. A list of members is available from our registered office. Grant Thornton UK LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.

1. Headlines

This table summarises the key findings and other matters arising from the statutory audit of the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund (‘the Pension Fund’) and the preparation of the Pension Fund's financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2021 for those charged with governance.

Financial Statements

Under International Standards of Audit (UK) (ISAs) and the National Audit Office (NAO) Code of Audit Practice (‘the Code’), we are required to report whether, in our opinion:

- the Pension Fund’s financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Pension Fund and its income and expenditure for the year; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting and prepared in accordance with the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Our audit work was completed remotely during June to September 2021. Our findings are summarised on pages 4 to 13. To date we have not identified any adjustments to the Pension Fund’s reported financial position. We have identified some minor presentational issues which are documented in Appendix A.

Our work is substantially complete and there are no matters of which we are aware that would require modification of our audit opinion [Refer to Appendix D] or material changes to the financial statements, subject to the following outstanding matters;

- completion of our outstanding testing – refer to Page 4 for more details
- receipt of management representation letter {Refer to Appendix D}; and
- receipt and review of the Annual Report
- review of the final set of financial statements

We have concluded that the other information to be published with the financial statements, is consistent with our knowledge of your organisation and the financial statements we have audited.

Our anticipated audit report opinion will be unmodified.

2. Financial Statements

Overview of the scope of our audit

This Audit Findings Report presents the observations arising from the audit that are significant to the responsibility of those charged with governance to oversee the financial reporting process, as required by International Standard on Auditing (UK) 260 and the Code of Audit Practice ('the Code'). Its contents have been discussed with management.

As auditor we are responsible for performing the audit, in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) and the Code, which is directed towards forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements that have been prepared by management with the oversight of those charged with governance. The audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities for the preparation of the financial statements.

Audit approach

Our audit approach was based on a thorough understanding of the Pension Fund's business and is risk based, and in particular included:

- An evaluation of the Pension Fund's internal controls environment, including its IT systems and controls;
- Substantive testing on significant transactions and material account balances, including the procedures outlined in this report in relation to the key audit risks

No changes have been made to the approach set out in the Audit Plan issued on 23 April 2021.

Conclusion

We have substantially completed our audit of your financial statements and subject to outstanding queries being resolved, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion following the Governance and Audit Committee meeting in November 2021, as detailed in Appendix C. These outstanding items include:

- completion of our outstanding testing in the following areas: Testing of the Fund's Direct Property
- completion of our internal review process
- receipt of management representation letter; and
- review of the final set of financial statements.

2. Financial Statements



Our approach to materiality

The concept of materiality is fundamental to the preparation of the financial statements and the audit process and applies not only to the monetary misstatements but also to disclosure requirements and adherence to acceptable accounting practice and applicable law.

We have revised the performance materiality due to the Net Assets of the Fund changing significantly from that at the planning stage resulting in a review of the appropriateness of the materiality figure.

We detail in the table below our determination of materiality for the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund.

Pension Fund Amount (£) Qualitative factors considered

Materiality for the financial statements	75,000,000	Our Headline Materiality is based on the Net Assets of the Fund. Due to the considerable increase in the value from the prior year, we have revised this figure upwards ahead of the Final Accounts Audit.
Performance materiality	52,500,000	Performance Materiality is based on a percentage of the overall materiality.
Trivial matters	3,750,000	Triviality is based on a percentage of the overall materiality.



2. Financial Statements - Significant risks

Significant risks are defined by ISAs (UK) as risks that, in the judgement of the auditor, require special audit consideration. In identifying risks, audit teams consider the nature of the risk, the potential magnitude of misstatement, and its likelihood. Significant risks are those risks that have a higher risk of material misstatement.

This section provides commentary on the significant audit risks communicated in the Audit Plan.

Risks identified in our Audit Plan	Commentary
<p>Management override of controls</p> <p>Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a non-rebuttable presumed risk that the risk of management over-ride of controls is present in all entities.</p> <p>We therefore identified management override of control, in particular journals, management estimates and transactions outside the course of business as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.</p>	<p>During the audit, we have undertaken the following work:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • evaluated the design effectiveness of management controls over journals • analysed the journals listing and determine the criteria for selecting high risk unusual journals • tested unusual journals made during the year and after the draft accounts stage for appropriateness and corroboration • gained an understanding of the accounting estimates and critical judgements applied made by management and consider their reasonableness • evaluated the rationale for any changes in accounting policies, estimates or significant unusual transactions. <p>No issues have been identified from the testing performed in this area.</p>



2. Financial Statements - Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary

The revenue cycle includes fraudulent transactions (rebutted)

Under ISA (UK) 240 there is a rebuttable presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to the improper recognition of revenue.

This presumption can be rebutted if the auditor concludes that there is no risk of material misstatement due to fraud relating to revenue recognition.

Having considered the risk factors set out in ISA240 and the nature of the revenue streams at the Fund, we have determined that the risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition can be rebutted, because:

- there is little incentive to manipulate revenue recognition
- opportunities to manipulate revenue recognition are very limited
- the culture and ethical frameworks of local authorities, including Kent County Council, mean that all forms of fraud are seen as unacceptable

Therefore we do not consider this to be a significant risk for the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund.

During the audit, we have undertaken the following work:

- reviewed and tested the Fund's revenue recognition policies
- performed testing on material revenue streams

No issues have been identified from the testing performed in this area.

The expenditure cycle includes fraudulent transactions (rebutted)

Practice Note 10 suggests that the risk of material misstatement due to fraudulent financial reporting that may arise from the manipulation of expenditure recognition needs to be considered, especially if an entity is required to meet financial targets.

Having considered the risk factors relevant to the Pension Fund, we have determined that no separate significant risk relating to expenditure recognition is necessary, as the same rebuttal factors listed above relating to revenue recognition apply. We consider that the risk relating to expenditure recognition would relate primarily to period-end journals and accruals which are considered as part of the standard audit tests mentioned and our testing in relation to the significant risk of Management Over-ride of Controls as mentioned on page 6.

During the audit, we have undertaken the following work:

- obtained an understanding of the design effectiveness of controls relating to operating expenditure.
- performed testing over post-year end transactions to assess completeness of expenditure recognition.
- tested a sample of operating expenditure to gain assurance in respect of the accuracy of expenditure recorded during the financial year.

No issues have been identified from the testing performed in this area.

2. Financial Statements - Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Valuation of Directly Held Property (Level 2 Investment) (Annual Revaluation)

The Fund revalues its directly held property on an annual basis, and indexed on a monthly basis with the relevant property sector index, to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the fair value at the financial statements date. This valuation represents a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.

Management have engaged the services of a valuer to estimate the current value as at December 2020.

We therefore identified valuation of directly held property, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

Commentary

During the audit, we have undertaken the following work:

- evaluated management's processes and assumptions for the calculation of the estimate, the instructions issued to the valuation experts and the scope of their work
- independently request year-end confirmations from investment managers and custodian, and assessed their responses as part of our work.
- evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert
- written to the valuer to confirm the basis on which the valuations were carried out
- challenged the information and assumptions used by the valuer to assess completeness and consistency with our understanding. We have also engaged our own valuer to assess the instructions to the Fund's valuer, the Fund's valuer's report and the assumptions that underpin the valuation.
- tested, on a sample basis, revaluations made during the year to ensure they have been input correctly into the Fund's asset register/financial records
- where available, we have reviewed investment manager service auditor report on design effectiveness of internal controls.

To date, our audit work has not identified any issues in respect of this risk. We will provide an update to Management and the Governance and Audit Committee should any issues be identified from our remaining testing.

2. Financial Statements - Significant risks

Risks identified in our Audit Plan

Commentary

Valuation of Level 3 Investments (Quarterly Revaluation)

The Fund revalues its investments on a quarterly basis to ensure that the carrying value is not materially different from the fair value at the financial statements date.

By their nature Level 3 investment valuations lack observable inputs. These valuations therefore represent a significant estimate by management in the financial statements due to the size of the numbers involved and the sensitivity of this estimate to changes in key assumptions.

Management utilise the services of investment managers and/or custodians as valuation experts to estimate the fair value as at 31 March 2021.

We therefore identified valuation of directly held property, particularly revaluations and impairments, as a significant risk, which was one of the most significant assessed risks of material misstatement.

During the audit, we have undertaken the following work:


- evaluated management's processes for valuing Level 3 investments
- reviewed the nature and basis of estimated values and considered what assurance management has over the year end valuations provided for these types of investments; to ensure that the requirements of the Code are met
- independently request year-end confirmations from investment managers and the custodian and consider the role played by the custodian in the asset valuations
- for a sample of investments, tested the valuation by obtaining and reviewing the audited accounts, (where available) at the latest date for individual investments and agreeing these to the Fund Manager reports at that date. We reconciled those values to the values at 31 March 2021 with reference to known movements in the intervening period; and
- in the absence of available audited accounts, we evaluated the competence, capabilities and objectivity of the valuation expert
- tested revaluations made during the year to see if they had been input correctly into the Pension Fund's Asset Register
- where available, reviewed investment manager service auditor reports on design effectiveness of internal controls; and

To date, we have identified an error with the Capital Commitments disclosed in the draft Accounts, which were found to be **£63.6 million** higher than were initially disclosed. However as this is just shown as a disclosure note to the Accounts, this has no impact on the Fund Account or Net Asset Statement.





No other issues have been identified from the testing performed in this area.

2. Financial Statements – key judgements and estimates


This section provides commentary on key estimates and judgements inline with the enhanced requirements for auditors.

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Level 2 Investments – Direct Property - £478m	<p>The Pension Fund has investments in Direct Property that in total are valued on the Net Asset Statement as at 31 March 2021 at £478 million.</p> <p>These properties are valued by a RICS Qualified Valuer as at December 2020. The Valuer is employed by the Fund Manager on behalf of the Fund to provide valuations in line with the CIPFA Code of Practice guidance in this area.</p>	<p>At the current date our work in this area is ongoing, which includes our Auditor's Expert reviewing the work of the Valuer to help provide us with assurance over the assumptions and judgements made in respect of these valuations as at 31 March 2021.</p>	TBC
Level 3 investments	<p>The Pension Fund has investments in Private Equity and Infrastructure Funds that in total are valued on the Net Asset Statement as at 31 March 2021 at £282 million.</p> <p>These investments are not traded on an open exchange/market and the valuation of these investments is highly subjective due to a lack of observable inputs. In order to determine the values, management rely on the valuation provided by the Fund Manager, which are usually based on an audited value of the fund as at 31 December 2020, with the valuation then rolled forward to March 2021, considering any cash movements which have taken place in the intervening period. These are new investments for the Fund in 2020-21.</p>	<p>Based on the work performed to date, we have been able to obtain sufficient assurance over the Level 3 valuations included within the Accounts.</p> <p>We have, on a sample basis, reviewed the basis on which the valuation of the Funds/Investments has been prepared, and where appropriate, considered the Audited Accounts of the Funds/Investments as well. No issues have been identified from the work performed in this area.</p>	 Light Purple





Assessment

-  [Purple] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
-  [Blue] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
-  [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
-  [Light Purple] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements - key judgements and estimates

Significant judgement or estimate	Summary of management's approach	Audit Comments	Assessment
Level 2 Investments (excluding Direct Property) – £4,885 million	<p>The Pension Fund have investments in Bonds and Pooled Investment Vehicles that in total are valued on the Net Asset Statement as at 31 March 2021 at £4,885 million.</p> <p>Whilst these investments themselves are not actively traded on an open market, the underlying investments are and the valuations of these investments will be based on the value of these underlying investments at 31 March 2021, or the closest trade date to year end.</p> <p>The valuation of these investments has increased by £1,908 million from their value at 31 March 2020 (£2,977 million). Whilst there has been growth in the valuation as part of the recovery from the Pandemic, the Fund has also moved some of its Pooled Investments into Funds which are classified as Level 2 instead of the old Funds which were classified as Level 1.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on the work performed, we have been able to obtain sufficient assurance over the Level 2 valuations included within the Accounts. We have undertaken full triangulation of the closing valuations provided by the relevant Fund Managers to the values provided by the Fund's Custodian, and considered any significant variances identified from this work. No issues have been identified from the work performed in this area. 	 <p>Light Purple</p>

Assessment


-  [Purple] We disagree with the estimation process or judgements that underpin the estimate and consider the estimate to be potentially materially misstated
-  [Blue] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider optimistic
-  [Grey] We consider the estimate is unlikely to be materially misstated however management's estimation process contains assumptions we consider cautious
-  [Light Purple] We consider management's process is appropriate and key assumptions are neither optimistic or cautious

2. Financial Statements - other communication requirements

We set out below details of other matters which we, as auditors, are required by auditing standards and the Code to communicate to those charged with governance.

Issue	Commentary
Matters in relation to fraud	We have previously discussed the risk of fraud with the Governance and Audit Committee. We have not been made aware of any other incidents in the period and no other issues have been identified during the course of our audit procedures.
Matters in relation to related parties	We are not aware of any related parties or related party transactions which have not been disclosed.
Matters in relation to laws and regulations	You have not made us aware of any significant incidences of non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations and we have not identified any incidences from our audit work.
Written representations	A letter of representation has been requested from the Pension Fund, which is appended to this Report.

2. Financial Statements - other communication requirements



Issue	Commentary
Confirmation requests from third parties	<p>We requested from management permission to send confirmation requests to all of the Pension Fund's counter parties. This permission was granted and the requests were sent. All of these requests were returned with positive confirmation.</p> <p>We requested management to send letters to those solicitors who worked with the Pension Fund during the year. All responses have been received and no issues have been identified.</p>
Accounting practices	<p>We have evaluated the appropriateness of the Pension Fund's accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures. Our review found no material omissions in the financial statements.</p>
Audit evidence and explanations/significant difficulties	<p>The Fund produced a good set of Accounts and working papers in line with the agreed timeframes, and responded promptly to the queries raised during the course of the audit despite the challenges of remote working. The small number of amendments identified in this Report reflect the quality of the draft Accounts prepared by management.</p>
Disclosures	<p>A handful of minor inconsistencies have been identified but have been adequately rectified by management. We plan to issue an unmodified opinion in this respect – refer to Appendix D.</p>
Matters on which we report by exception	<p>We are required to give a separate opinion for the Pension Fund Annual Report on whether the financial statements included therein are consistent with the audited financial statements. Due to statutory deadlines the Pension Fund Annual Report is not required to be published until 1 December 2021 and therefore this report has not yet been produced. We have therefore not given this separate opinion at this time and are unable to certify completion of the audit of the administering authority until this work has been completed.</p>

2. Financial Statements - other communication requirements



Our responsibility

As auditors, we are required to “obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence about the appropriateness of management’s use of the going concern assumption in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements and to conclude whether there is a material uncertainty about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern” (ISA (UK) 570).

Issue	Commentary
Going concern	<p>In performing our work on going concern, we have had reference to Statement of Recommended Practice – Practice Note 10: Audit of financial statements of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020). The Financial Reporting Council recognises that for particular sectors, it may be necessary to clarify how auditing standards are applied to an entity in a manner that is relevant and provides useful information to the users of financial statements in that sector. Practice Note 10 provides that clarification for audits of public sector bodies.</p> <p>Practice Note 10 sets out the following key principles for the consideration of going concern for public sector entities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the use of the going concern basis of accounting is not a matter of significant focus of the auditor’s time and resources because the applicable financial reporting frameworks envisage that the going concern basis for accounting will apply where the entity’s services will continue to be delivered by the public sector. In such cases, a material uncertainty related to going concern is unlikely to exist, and so a straightforward and standardised approach for the consideration of going concern will often be appropriate for public sector entities • for many public sector entities, the financial sustainability of the reporting entity and the services it provides is more likely to be of significant public interest than the application of the going concern basis of accounting. <p>Practice Note 10 states that if the financial reporting framework provides for the adoption of the going concern basis of accounting on the basis of the anticipated continuation of the provision of a service in the future, the auditor applies the continued provision of service approach set out in Practice Note 10. The financial reporting framework adopted by the Pension Fund meets this criteria, and so we have applied the continued provision of service approach. In doing so, we have considered and evaluated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the nature of the Pension Fund and the environment in which it operates • the Pension Fund’s financial reporting framework • the Pension Fund’s system of internal control for identifying events or conditions relevant to going concern • management’s going concern assessment. <p>On the basis of this work, we have obtained sufficient appropriate audit evidence to enable us to conclude that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a material uncertainty related to going concern has not been identified • management’s use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements is appropriate.

3. Independence and ethics

We confirm that there are no significant facts or matters that impact on our independence as auditors that we are required or wish to draw to your attention. We have complied with the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and confirm that we, as a firm, and each covered person, are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements

We confirm that we have implemented policies and procedures to meet the requirements of the Financial Reporting Council's Ethical Standard and we as a firm, and each covered person, confirm that we are independent and are able to express an objective opinion on the financial statements.

Further, we have complied with the requirements of the National Audit Office's Auditor Guidance Note 01 issued in May 2020 which sets out supplementary guidance on ethical requirements for auditors of local public bodies.

Details of fees charged are detailed in Appendix C.

Transparency

Grant Thornton publishes an annual Transparency Report, which sets out details of the action we have taken over the past year to improve audit quality as well as the results of internal and external quality inspections. For more details see [Transparency report 2020 \(grantthornton.co.uk\)](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/transparency-report-2020)

Audit and non-audit services

For the purposes of our audit we have made enquiries of all Grant Thornton UK LLP teams providing services to the Pension Fund. The following non-audit service was identified, as well as the threats to our independence and safeguards that have been applied to mitigate these threats.

Service	Fees £	Threats identified	Safeguards
Audit related			
Provision of IAS 19 Assurances to Scheme Employer auditors	£11,000* (was £11,000 in 2019-20)	Self-Interest (because this is a recurring fee)	<p>Fee is recurring but not significant compared to the audit of the financial statements, and is fixed based on the number of admitted bodies. Further, the work is on audit related services and integrated with the testing of controls undertaken as part of the audit.</p> <p>The main self interest threat would arise if we reported weaknesses to the Pension Fund TCWG that they then put pressure on GT not to report to other auditors that are seeking to rely on the GT response for request for information. The safeguard to this would be to have a safeguarding partner review the AFR and request for information to confirm all matters where communicated. However we do not anticipate this to be an issue for our work at the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund.</p>

* We have estimated the cost being the same as in the prior year, however we will only know the final figure once all IAS 19 letters have been issued and the Audit Certificate has been issued.

These services are consistent with the Pension Fund's on the allotment of non-audit work to your auditors. All services have been approved by the Governance and Audit Committee. None of the services provided are subject to contingent fees.

Appendices

A. Audit Adjustments

We are required to report all non trivial misstatements to those charged with governance, whether or not the accounts have been adjusted by management.

Impact of adjusted and unadjusted misstatements

No adjusted or unadjusted misstatements have been identified from the work performed during the course of the audit.

Misclassification and disclosure changes

The table below provides details of misclassification and disclosure changes identified during the audit which have been made in the final set of financial statements.

Disclosure omission	Impact on the Accounts	Adjusted?
Note 25 – Capital Commitments	Our testing identified that the Fund had not included the full Capital Commitments figure in the Accounts for two of the Private Equity Funds, which meant the value was understated by £63.6 million . This has subsequently been updated in the revised Accounts.	✓
Note 23 – Additional Voluntary Contributions	The Fund has been unable to obtain updated AVC Values from one of their providers, Prudential, and has proposed to include estimated values in the Note to reflect the current position.	✓
Note 17 – Valuation of Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value	A number of minor amendments have been made to Note 17 to enhance the transparency of the disclosures for the benefit of the reader of the Accounts.	✓

B. Fees

We confirm below our final fees charged for the audit and confirm there were no fees for the provision of non audit services.

The fees reconcile to the financial statements – refer to Note 11 of the Pension Fund Accounts for confirmation of this.

Audit fees	Proposed fee	Final fee
Pension Fund Audit	41,000	TBC
Total audit fees (excluding VAT)	£41,000	TBC

Non-audit fees for other services	Proposed fee	Final fee
Audit Related Services		
Provision of IAS19 Assurances to Admitted and Scheduled Bodies	£11,000	TBC
Total non-audit fees (excluding VAT)	£11,000	TBC

We are unable to confirm the total cost of our IAS19 Assurances as we have yet to receive letters from all of those bodies that we would expect to provide assurances to as part of this work. In respect of the costs of this work, we have proposed a similar pricing structure to previous years, with a charge of £2,500 for the required controls testing, and a fee of £750 per response.

C. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an **unmodified audit report**.

Independent auditor's report to the members of Kent County Council on the pension fund financial statements of the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund (the 'Pension Fund') administered by Kent County Council (the 'Authority') for the year ended 31 March 2021 which comprise the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement and notes to the pension fund financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21.

In our opinion, the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2021 and of the amount and disposition at that date of the fund's assets and liabilities,
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21; and
- have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

Basis for opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK) (ISAs (UK)) and applicable law, as required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) ("the Code of Audit Practice") approved by the Comptroller and Auditor General. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the 'Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements' section of our report. We are independent of the Authority in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the Pension Fund's financial statements in the UK, including the FRC's Ethical Standard, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

We are responsible for concluding on the appropriateness of the Corporate Director of Finance's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify the auditor's opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Pension Fund to cease to continue as a going concern.

In our evaluation of the Corporate Director of Finance's conclusions, and in accordance with the expectation set out within the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21 that the Pension Fund's financial statements shall be prepared on a going concern basis, we considered the inherent risks associated with the continuation of services provided by the Pension Fund. In doing so we had regard to the guidance provided in Practice Note 10 Audit of financial statements and regularity of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (Revised 2020) on the application of ISA (UK) 570 Going Concern to public sector entities. We assessed the reasonableness of the basis of preparation used by the Authority in the Pension Fund financial statements and the disclosures in the Pension Fund financial statements over the going concern period.

Based on the work we have performed, we have not identified any material uncertainties relating to events or conditions that, individually or collectively, may cast significant doubt on the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from when the financial statements are authorised for issue.

In auditing the financial statements, we have concluded that the Corporate Director of Finance's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the Pension Fund financial statements is appropriate.

The responsibilities of the Corporate Director of Finance with respect to going concern are described in the 'Responsibilities of the Authority, the Corporate Director of Finance

C. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an **unmodified audit report**.

and Those Charged with Governance for the financial statements' section of this report.

Other information

The Corporate Director of Finance is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises the information included in the Statement of Accounts and the Annual Governance Statement, other than the Pension Fund's financial statements, our auditor's report thereon, and our auditor's report on the Authority's financial statements. Our opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements does not cover the other information and, except to the extent otherwise explicitly stated in our report, we do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon.

In connection with our audit of the Pension Fund's financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the Pension Fund's financial statements or our knowledge of the Pension Fund obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If we identify such material inconsistencies or apparent material misstatements, we are required to determine whether there is a material misstatement in the Pension Fund financial statements or a material misstatement of the other information. If, based on the work we have performed, we conclude that there is a material misstatement of the other information, we are required to report that fact.

We have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matter required by the Code of Audit Practice (2020) published by the National Audit Office on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General (the Code of Audit Practice)

In our opinion, based on the work undertaken in the course of the audit of the Pension Fund's financial statements and our knowledge of the Pension Fund, the other information published together with the Pension Fund's financial statements in the Statement of Accounts, for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the Pension Fund financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception

Under the Code of Audit Practice, we are required to report to you if

- we issue a report in the public interest under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make a written recommendation to the Authority under section 24 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under Section 28 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or;
- we issue an advisory notice under Section 29 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit; or
- we make an application for judicial review under Section 31 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, in the course of, or at the conclusion of the audit.

We have nothing to report in respect of the above matters in relation to the Pension Fund.

Responsibilities of the Authority, the Corporate Director of Finance and Those Charged with Governance for the financial statements

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities the Authority is required to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs and to secure that one of its officers has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs. In this authority, that officer is the Corporate Director of Finance. The Corporate Director of Finance is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the Pension Fund's financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21, for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view, and for such internal control as the Corporate Director of Finance determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the Pension Fund's financial statements, the Corporate Director of Finance is responsible for assessing the Pension Fund's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless there is an intention by government that the services provided by the Pension Fund will no longer be provided.

C. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an **unmodified audit report**.

The Governance and Audit Committee is Those Charged with Governance for the Pension Fund. Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Authority's financial reporting process.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Pension Fund's financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

A further description of our responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements is located on the Financial Reporting Council's website at: www.frc.org.uk/auditorsresponsibilities. This description forms part of our auditor's report.

Explanation as to what extent the audit was considered capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud

Irregularities, including fraud, are instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations. We design procedures in line with our responsibilities, outlined above, to detect material misstatements in respect of irregularities, including fraud. Owing to the inherent limitations of an audit, there is an unavoidable risk that material misstatements in the financial statements may not be detected, even though the audit is properly planned and performed in accordance with the ISAs (UK).

The extent to which our procedures are capable of detecting irregularities, including fraud is detailed below:

- We obtained an understanding of the legal and regulatory frameworks that are applicable to the Pension Fund and determined that the most significant, which are directly relevant to specific assertions in the financial statements, are those related to the reporting frameworks (international accounting standards as interpreted and

adapted by the CIPFA/LASAAC code of practice on local authority accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21, The Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015, the Public Service Pensions Act 2013, The Local government Pension Scheme Regulations 2013 and the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2016.

- We enquired of senior officers and the Governance and Audit Committee, concerning the Authority's policies and procedures relating to:
 - the identification, evaluation and compliance with laws and regulations;
 - the detection and response to the risks of fraud; and
 - the establishment of internal controls to mitigate risks related to fraud or non-compliance with laws and regulations.
- We enquired of senior officers, internal audit and the Governance and Audit Committee, whether they were aware of any instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations or whether they had any knowledge of actual, suspected or alleged fraud.
- We assessed the susceptibility of the Pension Fund's financial statements to material misstatement, including how fraud might occur, by evaluating officers' incentives and opportunities for manipulation of the financial statements. This included the evaluation of the risk of management override of controls. We determined that the principal risks were in relation to:
 - the journals posted by relevant officers during the course of the year, taking into account a range of different criteria to focus our testing on the most risky journals.
- Our audit procedures involved:
 - evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls that the Corporate Director of Finance has in place to prevent and detect fraud;
 - journal entry testing, with a focus on those journals that have been deemed risky via our assessment based on a range of criteria;
 - challenging assumptions and judgements made by management in its significant accounting estimates in respect of level 3 investments; and

C. Audit opinion

Our audit opinion is included below.

We anticipate we will provide the Pension Fund with an **unmodified audit report**.

- assessing the extent of compliance with the relevant laws and regulations as part of our procedures on the related financial statement item.

- These audit procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements were free from fraud or error. However, detecting irregularities that result from fraud is inherently more difficult than detecting those that result from error, as those irregularities that result from fraud may involve collusion, deliberate concealment, forgery or intentional misrepresentations. Also, the further removed non-compliance with laws and regulations is from events and transactions reflected in the financial statements, the less likely we would become aware of it.

- The team communications in respect of potential non-compliance with relevant laws and regulations, including the potential for fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition.

- Assessment of the appropriateness of the collective competence and capabilities of the engagement team included consideration of the engagement team's:

- understanding of, and practical experience with audit engagements of a similar nature and complexity through appropriate training and participation

- knowledge of the local government pensions sector

- understanding of the legal and regulatory requirements specific to the Pension Fund including:

- the provisions of the applicable legislation

- guidance issued by CIPFA, LASAAC and SOLACE

- the applicable statutory provisions.

- In assessing the potential risks of material misstatement, we obtained an understanding of:

- the Pension Fund's operations, including the nature of its income and expenditure and its services and of its objectives and strategies to understand the classes of transactions, account balances, expected financial statement disclosures and business risks that may result in risks of material misstatement.

- the Authority's control environment, including the policies and procedures

implemented by the Authority to ensure compliance with the requirements of the financial reporting framework.

Use of our report

This report is made solely to the members of the Authority, as a body, in accordance with Part 5 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 and as set out in paragraph 43 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Authority's members those matters we are required to state to them in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Authority and the Authority's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

[Signature]

Paul Dossett, Key Audit Partner

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Local Auditor

London

xx November 2021

D. Management Letter of Representation

[LETTER TO BE WRITTEN ON CLIENT HEADED PAPER]

Grant Thornton UK LLP
30 Finsbury Square
London
EC2A 1AG

xx November 2021

Dear Sirs

Kent County Council Superannuation Fund

Financial Statements for the year ended 31 March 2021

This representation letter is provided in connection with the audit of the financial statements of the Kent County Council Superannuation Fund for the year ended 31 March 2021 for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the financial statements are presented fairly, in all material respects in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21 and applicable law.

We confirm that to the best of our knowledge and belief having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

Financial Statements

- i. We have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation of the Fund's financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2020/21 ("the Code"); in particular the financial statements are fairly presented in accordance therewith.
- ii. We have complied with the requirements of all statutory directions affecting the Fund and these matters have been appropriately reflected and disclosed in the financial

statements.

iii. The Fund has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance. There has been no non-compliance with requirements of any regulatory authorities that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance.

iv. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

v. Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable. Such accounting estimates include the valuation of Level 3 Investments. We are satisfied that the material judgements used in the preparation of the financial statements are soundly based, in accordance with the Code and adequately disclosed in the financial statements. We understand our responsibilities includes identifying and considering alternative, methods, assumptions or source data that would be equally valid under the financial reporting framework, and why these alternatives were rejected in favour of the estimate used. We are satisfied that the methods, the data and the significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates and their related disclosures are appropriate to achieve recognition, measurement or disclosure that is reasonable in accordance with the Code and adequately disclosed in the financial statements.

vi. Except as disclosed in the financial statements:

- a. there are no unrecorded liabilities, actual or contingent
- b. none of the assets of the Fund has been assigned, pledged or mortgaged
- c. there are no material prior year charges or credits, nor exceptional or non-recurring items requiring separate disclosure.

vii. Related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards and the Code.

viii. All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which International Financial Reporting Standards and the Code require adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

D. Management Letter of Representation

ix. We have considered the adjusted misstatements, and misclassification and disclosures changes schedules included in your Audit Findings Report. The financial statements have been amended for these misstatements, misclassifications and disclosure changes and are free of material misstatements, including omissions.

x. Actual or possible litigation and claims have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of International Financial Reporting Standards.

xi. We have no plans or intentions that may materially alter the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities reflected in the financial statements.

xii. We have updated our going concern assessment. We continue to believe that the Fund's financial statements should be prepared on a going concern basis and have not identified any material uncertainties related to going concern on the grounds that that :

a. the nature of the Fund means that, notwithstanding any intention to liquidate the Fund or cease its operations in their current form, it will continue to be appropriate to adopt the going concern basis of accounting because, in such an event, services it performs can be expected to continue to be delivered by related public authorities and preparing the financial statements on a going concern basis will still provide a faithful representation of the items in the financial statements

b. the financial reporting framework permits the entry to prepare its financial statements on the basis of the presumption set out under a) above; and

c. the Fund's system of internal control has not identified any events or conditions relevant to going concern.

We believe that no further disclosures relating to the Fund's ability to continue as a going concern need to be made in the financial statements.

Information Provided

xiii. We have provided you with:

a. access to all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements such as records, documentation and other matters;

b. additional information that you have requested from us for the purpose of your audit; and

c. access to persons within the Fund via remote arrangements, in compliance with the

nationally specified social distancing requirements established by the government in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.

xiv. We have communicated to you all deficiencies in internal control of which management is aware.

xv. All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

xvi. We have disclosed to you the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

xvii. We have disclosed to you all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that we are aware of and that affects the Fund, and involves:

a. management;

b. employees who have significant roles in internal control; or

c. others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.

xviii. We have disclosed to you all information in relation to allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

xix. We have disclosed to you all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.

xx. There have been no communications with The Pensions Regulator or other regulatory bodies during the year or subsequently concerning matters of non-compliance with any legal duty.

xxi. We are not aware of any reports having been made to The Pensions Regulator by any of our advisors.

xxii. We have disclosed to you the identity of the Fund's related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are aware.

xxiii. We have disclosed to you all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

D. Management Letter of Representation

Approval

The approval of this letter of representation was minuted by the Fund's Governance and Audit Committee at its meeting on 7 October 2021

Yours faithfully

Name.....

Position.....

Date.....

Name.....

Position.....

Date.....

Signed on behalf of the Fund



[grantthornton.co.uk](https://www.grantthornton.co.uk)

© 2021 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.